

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/714,248           | 11/13/2003                         | George W. Hager II   | 16502               | 2457             |
|                      | 7590 06/27/200<br>MENS MARTIN & MI | •                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 28366 KENSIN         |                                    | ,                    | PICO, ERIC E        |                  |
| PERRYSBURG, OH 43551 |                                    | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|                      |                                    |                      | 3654                |                  |
| •                    |                                    | •                    |                     |                  |
|                      | •                                  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
| •                    |                                    | ·                    | 06/27/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |              |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| 10/714,248      | HAGER, GEORGE | R, GEORGE W. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |              |  |
| Eric Pico       | 3654          |              |  |

|   | Eric Pico   | 3654  |   |
|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | ress  |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP  | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A  | LLOWANCE.   |   |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b)</li> </ol>  | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply move<br>the of the final rejection.<br>Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leading to the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7  | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE  |   |   |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)  | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da                                      | of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi   | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as                        |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th   |   |
| AMENDMENTS  |   |   |   |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a)  They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or   | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>w);  | TE below);  |   |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1  |   | ected claims.   |   |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   | , ,,  | mpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).   |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |   | •   |   |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).  |   | timely filed amendme  | ent canceling the   |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   | Il be entered and an e  | explanation of  |
| , ,   | .5 07.  |   |   |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |   |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |   |   |   |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.  10. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered by the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to date of the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to date of the filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to date of the filed after the the filed a | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fa<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(  | ils to provide a<br>1).   |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attacl   | nea.  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | it does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowa  | nce because:  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  | Peter M. Cuomo  | _   |
|   |   | pervisory Patent Ex   | cam <b>iner</b>   |
|   |   | Technology Center   | 3600  |

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

**Application No. 10/714,248** 

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended independent claims 1, 10, and 16 "fixedly connected between said actuating arm and ceiling or a wall of a shaft" raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.